UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,982	06/15/2006	Robert C. Shipman	13516-4	1560
1059 BERESKIN AN	7590 04/24/200 ND PARR	EXAMINER		
40 KING STREET WEST			POHNERT, STEVEN C	
BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA	CANADA			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/582,982	SHIPMAN ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven C. Pohnert	1634				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Steven C. Pohnert</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Patricia Folkins</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 22 April 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>49, 50, 78, and 82</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representative wanted to discuss the Advisory Action of 3/19/2008. The examiner suggested the amendment to the claims as stated in the advisory action would require further search and consideration as the claims now require the specific nucleic acid sequences and no longer have the broad limitation of a fragment that hybridizes to an ABC reporter gene. No agreements were reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
-	/Steven C Pohnert/ Examiner, Art Unit 1634 Examiner's signature, if requi	red				